


Request for Costing an Election Commitment

Name of election commitment:	<i>Release and implement the review of the Conservator of Flora & Fauna</i>
Person and party requesting costing:	Meredith Hunter, ACT Greens Parliamentary Leader
Date of public release of election commitment, including source:	7 th October 2012 http://act.greens.org.au/sites/greens.org.au/files/BIODIVERSITY.pdf (point 3, page 3)
Summary of election commitment:	Public release of the 2012 Price Waterhouse review of the Conservator of Flora & Fauna and the implementation of reforms to strengthen the Commissioner's role.
Intention of election commitment:	To ensure that the public are informed of the review's findings, and ensure the best possible statutory framework is in place to assist the Commissioner in protecting the environment.
Signature of person requesting costing:	
Date of request for costing:	11/10/12

Key assumptions that have been made in the election commitment

Note: that where the request to cost an election commitment differs from the public announcement, the costing will be on the basis of information provided in the costing request.
Note: it will be up to the professional judgment of the Director-General as to whether these assumptions are adopted in the costing of the election commitment.

Where relevant, is the funding for the policy to be demand driven or a capped amount?
 N/A.

Will third parties, for instance the Commonwealth or other State/Territories, have a role in funding or delivering the election commitment?
 No.

Will funding/the cost require indexation?
 No.

What are the estimated costs each year (if available)?

	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	Total
	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
Revenue ^(a)						
Expenses ^(a)						
Capital						

(a) A negative number indicates a decrease in revenue or an increase in expenses.

What is the likely take up?
 N/A.

What are the capital requirements for this election commitment and estimated costs each year (if available)?

<p>Any other assumptions?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There should be no cost associated with releasing the review. • It is assumed that the implementation of legislative changes can be absorbed by existing resources within the Environment and Sustainable Development Directorate. • Reforms to make the Commissioner and Officer of the Parliament are addressed in the Greens commitment relating to Officers of the Parliament.
<p>Administration of the election commitment</p>
<p>How will the election commitment be administered? Legislative reforms will be overseen by the Environment and Sustainable Development Directorate.</p>
<p>Who will administer the election commitment? The Environment and Sustainable Development Directorate.</p>
<p>Has an allowance been made for expenses necessary to support the implementation of this election commitment?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – If no, will the government agency be expected to absorb expenses associated with this election commitment? – If yes, please specify the key assumptions. <p>It is assumed that any work associated with reforming the Act will be absorbed by existing resources within ESDD.</p>
<p>What is the intended implementation date of the election commitment? The review should be tabled within the Assembly at the first sitting after the election and legislative change implemented by the end of 2013.</p>
<p>Are there transitional arrangements associated with election commitment implementation? No.</p>
<p>Are there any other assumptions that need to be considered? No.</p>
<p>When is the election commitment expected to be fully operational? <i>Please provide further details i.e. start and end dates, the level of commitment during each period etc?</i> As above.</p>
<p>Will the election commitment cease and if so when? N/A.</p>