


Request for Costing an Election Commitment

Name of election commitment:	Planning advocacy and support
Person and party requesting costing:	Meredith Hunter, ACT Greens Parliamentary Leader
Date of public release of election commitment, including source:	19 September 2012, http://act.greens.org.au/sites/greens.org.au/files/Planning.pdf (page 6)
Summary of election commitment:	Improve consultation on planning issues by funding community based planning advocacy and policy development.
Intention of election commitment:	Improve public participation in the planning system.
Signature of person requesting costing:	
Date of request for costing:	9/10/12

What are the key assumptions that have been made in the election commitment?

Note: that where the request to cost an election commitment differs from the public announcement, the costing will be on the basis of information provided in the costing request.
Note: it will be up to the professional judgment of the Director-General as to whether these assumptions are adopted in the costing of the election commitment.

Where relevant, is the funding for the policy to be demand driven or a capped amount?

The amount of \$800,000 is capped.

Will third parties, for instance the Commonwealth or other State/Territories, have a role in funding or delivering the election commitment?

Yes, the funding will be provided to the Conservation Council and the Combined Community Councils and they will have a role in delivering the commitment.

Will funding/the cost require indexation?

No.

What are the estimated revenue and operating costs each year (if available) and what are the capital requirements for this election commitment and estimated costs each year (if available)?

	2012-13 \$'000	2013-14 \$'000	2014-15 \$'000	2015-16 \$'000	2016-17 \$'000	Total \$'000
Revenue ^(a)						
Expenses ^(a)		-200	-200	-200	-200	-800
Capital						

(a) A negative number indicates a decrease in revenue or an increase in expenses.

What is the likely take up?

N/A.

Any other assumptions?

- The funding provided in the commitment is a fixed annual grant.
- Associated costs are expected to be absorbed by the directorate.

Administration of the election commitment

How will the election commitment be administered?

The funding would be managed by ESDD similar to other grants programs.

Who will administer the election commitment?

ESDD (ACTPLA).

Has an allowance been made for expenses necessary to support the implementation of this election commitment?

- If no, will the government agency be expected to absorb expenses associated with this election commitment? The directorate is expected to develop contracts and manage the funding. This would be done within existing resources.
- If yes, please specify the key assumptions.

No. Associated costs are expected to be absorbed by the directorate.

What is the intended implementation date of the election commitment?

Funding would commence as in 2013-14.

Are there transitional arrangements associated with election commitment implementation?

No.

Are there any other assumptions that need to be considered?

No.

When is the election commitment expected to be fully operational?

Please provide further details i.e. start and end dates, level of commitment during each period etc?
2013-14.

Will the election commitment cease and if so when?

N/A