

Request for Costing an Election Commitment

Name of policy proposal:	Communities@Work Reach Home Program (24 August 2016)
Person requesting costing:	Alistair Coe MLA, Shadow Treasurer
Date of request:	5 October 2016
Summary of proposal:	The Canberra Liberals will provide a one off grant of \$500,000 and ongoing funding of \$100,000 per year for the Communities@Work Reach Home Program.
Issue the proposal will address:	To provide additional support to vulnerable families.

What are the key assumptions that have been made in the proposal?

Note: The costing will developed on the basis of information and assumptions provided in the costing request. The professional judgment of the Under Treasurer will determine whether these assumptions are adopted in the costing of the proposal.

- Costs are calculated as:
 - a one-off grant in 2017-18 of \$500,000 to assist Communities@Work to purchase additional accommodation for vulnerable families; and
 - funding of \$100,000 per year from 2017-18 to boost resources needed to expand the Reach Home Program, indexed at 2.5% as per Treasury advice (rounded up to the nearest \$5,000).
- There are no implementation costs.

What are the estimated revenue and operating costs each year (if available) and what are the capital requirements for this proposal and estimated costs each year (if available)?

	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	Total
	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
Revenue^(a)					
Expenses^(a)	0	-600	-105	-105	-810
Capital					
Depreciation					

(a) A negative number indicates a decrease in revenue or an increase in expenses. The expenses row does not include depreciation costs.

Has any specific information or data been utilised in generating the proposal?

No.

Where relevant, is funding for the proposal to be demand driven or a capped amount?

Capped.

Will third parties, for instance the Commonwealth or other State/Territories, have a role in funding or delivering the proposal? Does the proposal provide additional funding to, or redirect, any existing Commonwealth/State or Territory funding arrangements (for example, does an education proposal add to or redirect NERA funding).

The Reach Home Program is delivered by Communities@Work.

Will funding/the cost require indexation?	Yes. Funding has been indexed at 2.5% to allow Communities@Work to continue same level of support.
Who will administer the proposal?	Communities@Work through the Community Services Directorate.
How will the proposal be administered?	The Community Services Directorate will provide the funding to Communities@Work to deliver additional support under the Reach Home Program.
Is the proposal part of a broader package?	This proposal was announced as part of a broader Community Services package on 24 August.
Has an allowance been made for expenses necessary to support the implementation of this proposal? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – If no, will the government agency be expected to absorb expenses associated with this proposal? – If yes, please specify the key assumptions. 	No. Administering funding to organisations such as Communities@Work is business as usual for the Community Services Directorate. Implementation will be met from within existing resources.
Will the proposal generate savings or offsets?	No.
Has the proposal been previously costed by an external (third) party? Will a copy of this material, including any assumptions, be made available to Treasury?	No.
What are the community impacts associated with the proposal? Who and how many people will be affected?	Community impacts are expected to be positive due to the increased support available to vulnerable families.
Are there any transitional considerations associated with implementation of the proposal? If so, how will they be managed?	No.
What is the intended implementation date of the proposal?	1 July 2017.
When is the proposal expected to be fully operational? Please provide details such as the start and end dates, the level of commitment during each period etc?	1 July 2017.
Will the proposal cease, and if so, when?	Funding beyond 2019-20 will be reviewed in 2019-20.
Is there any additional information relevant to this proposal?	No.

