

Request for Costing an Election Commitment

Name of policy proposal:	Funding for Self Help Organisations United Together (20 September 2016)
Person requesting costing:	Alistair Coe MLA, Shadow Treasurer
Date of request:	7 October 2016
Summary of proposal:	The Canberra Liberals will commit \$200,000 a year to Self Help Organisation United Together (SHOUT).
Issue the proposal will address:	Additional support for chronic disease sufferers.

What are the key assumptions that have been made in the proposal?

Note: The costing will developed on the basis of information and assumptions provided in the costing request. The professional judgment of the Under Treasurer will determine whether these assumptions are adopted in the costing of the proposal.

- Funding is a flat \$200,000 per year to SHOUT, an organisation that supports community groups to help people manage chronic conditions.
- The funding is not indexed and will be administered by the Health Directorate.

What are the estimated revenue and operating costs each year (if available) and what are the capital requirements for this proposal and estimated costs each year (if available)?

	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	Total
	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
Revenue^(a)					
Expenses^(a)	0	-200	-200	-200	-600
Capital					
Depreciation					

(a) A negative number indicates a decrease in revenue or an increase in expenses. The expenses row does not include depreciation costs.

Has any specific information or data been utilised in generating the proposal?

No.

Where relevant, is funding for the proposal to be demand driven or a capped amount?

Capped.

Will third parties, for instance the Commonwealth or other State/Territories, have a role in funding or delivering the proposal? Does the proposal provide additional funding to, or redirect, any existing Commonwealth/State or Territory funding arrangements (for example, does an education proposal add to or redirect NERA funding).

Funding will be provided to SHOUT.

Will funding/the cost require indexation?

No. Funding is a flat amount per year.

Who will administer the proposal?

The Health Directorate.

How will the proposal be administered?
The Health Directorate will be responsible for establishing a funding agreement with SHOUT for to administer the grant funding.
Is the proposal part of a broader package?
No.
Has an allowance been made for expenses necessary to support the implementation of this proposal?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – If no, will the government agency be expected to absorb expenses associated with this proposal? – If yes, please specify the key assumptions.
No. Implementation will be met from within existing resources. Administering funding agreements is considered business as usual for the Health Directorate.
Will the proposal generate savings or offsets?
No.
Has the proposal been previously costed by an external (third) party? Will a copy of this material, including any assumptions, be made available to Treasury?
No.
What are the community impacts associated with the proposal? Who and how many people will be affected?
Community benefits are expected to be positive as the funding will go to supporting community groups that support people living with chronic disease.
Are there any transitional considerations associated with implementation of the proposal? If so, how will they be managed?
No.
What is the intended implementation date of the proposal?
1 July 2017.
When is the proposal expected to be fully operational? Please provide details such as the start and end dates, the level of commitment during each period etc?
1 July 2017.
Will the proposal cease, and if so, when?
Funding will be reviewed in 2019-20.
Is there any additional information relevant to this proposal?
No.