

## Request for Costing an Election Commitment

<b>Name of policy proposal:</b>	<b>Respectful relationships program in schools</b>
Person requesting costing:	Shane Rattenbury MLA
Date of request:	20 September 2016
Summary of proposal:	A capped investment of \$2 million over four years for the introduction of a respectful relationships program in ACT primary and secondary schools.
Issue the proposal will address:	The respectful relationships program will teach children about respectful relationships that value the role of women in our society, working to counter the recent examples of young women being negatively targeted in online forums.

### What are the key assumptions that have been made in the proposal?

**Note:** The costing will developed on the basis of information and assumptions provided in the costing request. The professional judgment of the Under Treasurer will determine whether these assumptions are adopted in the costing of the proposal.

Capped funding allocated to develop the respectful relationships program in the first financial year (2016-17) and deliver the program during the out years.

What are the estimated revenue and operating costs each year (if available) and what are the capital requirements for this proposal and estimated costs each year (if available)?

	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	Total
	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
<b>Revenue<sup>(a)</sup></b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Expenses<sup>(a)</sup></b>	-200	-600	-600	-600	<b>-2,000</b>
<b>Capital</b>	-0	-0	-0	-0	-0
<b>Depreciation</b>					

(a) A negative number indicates a decrease in revenue or an increase in expenses. The expenses row does not include depreciation costs.

Has any specific information or data been utilised in generating the proposal?

No.

Where relevant, is funding for the proposal to be demand driven or a capped amount?

Capped.

Will third parties, for instance the Commonwealth or other State/Territories, have a role in funding or delivering the proposal? Does the proposal provide additional funding to, or redirect, any existing Commonwealth/State or Territory funding arrangements (for example, does an education proposal add to or redirect NERA funding)?

No.

Will funding/the cost require indexation?	Included in capped costs
Who will administer the proposal?	Education Directorate, in consultation with the Justice and Community Safety Directorate and the Community Services Directorate.
How will the proposal be administered?	To be administered in schools, with the program to be developed and/or commissioned by the Education Directorate as part of the capped costs.
Is the proposal part of a broader package?	No.
Has an allowance been made for expenses necessary to support the implementation of this proposal? – If no, will the government agency be expected to absorb expenses associated with this proposal? – If yes, please specify the key assumptions.	Yes, expenses to be covered by capped amount. Acknowledging that the Directorate may choose to externally commission the respectful relationships program.
Will the proposal generate savings or offsets?	No.
Has the proposal been previously costed by an external (third) party? Will a copy of this material, including any assumptions, be made available to Treasury?	No.
What are the community impacts associated with the proposal? Who and how many people will be affected?	Life and interpersonal skills for children that enhance respect for women, and build a more inclusive and caring society.
Are there any transitional considerations associated with implementation of the proposal? If so, how will they be managed?	No
What is the intended implementation date of the proposal?	1 January 2017 – development to begin
When is the proposal expected to be fully operational? Please provide details such as the start and end dates, the level of commitment during each period etc?	2017-18 – development to end, and roll out to begin
Will the proposal cease, and if so, when?	30 June 2020
Is there any additional information relevant to this proposal?	N/A