

Request for Costing an Election Commitment

| | |
|---|---|
| Name of policy proposal: | Additional Funding for ACT Police (29 September 2016) |
| Person requesting costing: | Alistair Coe MLA, Shadow Treasurer |
| Date of request: | 7 October 2016 |
| Summary of proposal: | The Canberra Liberals will provide funding for 30 additional police officers. |
| Issue the proposal will address: | Funding for ACT Policing. |

What are the key assumptions that have been made in the proposal?

Note: The costing will developed on the basis of information and assumptions provided in the costing request. The professional judgment of the Under Treasurer will determine whether these assumptions are adopted in the costing of the proposal.

- Funding is calculated using the Treasury average cost for a Police Officer (Constable) and indexed at 2.5% over the forwards.
- This additional funding acts to reverse funding cuts that were taken to ACT Policing in the 2013-14 Budget.

What are the estimated revenue and operating costs each year (if available) and what are the capital requirements for this proposal and estimated costs each year (if available)?

| | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | Total |
|--------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | \$'000 | \$'000 | \$'000 | \$'000 | \$'000 |
| Revenue ^(a) | | | | | |
| Expenses ^(a) | 0 | -4,340 | -4,445 | -4,560 | -13,345 |
| Capital | | | | | |
| Depreciation | | | | | |

(a) A negative number indicates a decrease in revenue or an increase in expenses. The expenses row does not include depreciation costs.

Has any specific information or data been utilised in generating the proposal?

No.

Where relevant, is funding for the proposal to be demand driven or a capped amount?

Capped.

Will third parties, for instance the Commonwealth or other State/Territories, have a role in funding or delivering the proposal? Does the proposal provide additional funding to, or redirect, any existing Commonwealth/State or Territory funding arrangements (for example, does an education proposal add to or redirect NERA funding).

No.

Will funding/the cost require indexation?

Yes. Funding has been indexed at 2.5% as per Treasury advice.

| |
|--|
| Who will administer the proposal? |
| ACT Policing. |
| How will the proposal be administered? |
| ACT Policing will receive additional appropriation to put into frontline services. |
| Is the proposal part of a broader package? |
| Yes. This proposal forms part of a broader Law and Order Package. |
| Has an allowance been made for expenses necessary to support the implementation of this proposal? |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - If no, will the government agency be expected to absorb expenses associated with this proposal? - If yes, please specify the key assumptions. |
| No. Recruitment will be met from within existing resources. |
| Will the proposal generate savings or offsets? |
| No. |
| Has the proposal been previously costed by an external (third) party? Will a copy of this material, including any assumptions, be made available to Treasury? |
| No. |
| What are the community impacts associated with the proposal? Who and how many people will be affected? |
| Any impact on the community will be positive from increased law and order. |
| Are there any transitional considerations associated with implementation of the proposal? If so, how will they be managed? |
| No. |
| What is the intended implementation date of the proposal? |
| 1 July 2017. |
| When is the proposal expected to be fully operational? Please provide details such as the start and end dates, the level of commitment during each period etc? |
| 2017-18. |
| Will the proposal cease, and if so, when? |
| The proposal is ongoing. |
| Is there any additional information relevant to this proposal? |
| No. |