

## Request for Costing an Election Commitment

<b>Name of policy proposal:</b>	Greenway Oval Upgrade (1 September 2016)
Person requesting costing:	Alistair Coe MLA, Shadow Treasurer
Date of request:	5 October 2016
Summary of proposal:	The Canberra Liberals will invest up to \$550,000 to upgrade Greenway Oval.
Issue the proposal will address:	Provide much needed infrastructure refurbishments to Greenway Oval.

### What are the key assumptions that have been made in the proposal?

**Note:** The costing will developed on the basis of information and assumptions provided in the costing request. The professional judgment of the Under Treasurer will determine whether these assumptions are adopted in the costing of the proposal.

- Costs have been calculated as a flat \$550,000 capital amount for upgrades.
- The cost of capital has been calculated at 2.5% as per Treasury advice.
- Depreciation has been calculated using the straight line method, assuming investment is on infrastructure with a useful life of 25 years and no resale value.

What are the estimated revenue and operating costs each year (if available) and what are the capital requirements for this proposal and estimated costs each year (if available)?

	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	Total
	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
<b>Revenue<sup>(a)</sup></b>					
<b>Expenses<sup>(a)</sup></b>	0	0	-14	-14	0
<b>Capital</b>	0	0	-550	0	-550
<b>Depreciation</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-22</b>	<b>-22</b>

(a) A negative number indicates a decrease in revenue or an increase in expenses. The expenses row does not include depreciation costs.

Has any specific information or data been utilised in generating the proposal?

No.

Where relevant, is funding for the proposal to be demand driven or a capped amount?

Capped.

Will third parties, for instance the Commonwealth or other State/Territories, have a role in funding or delivering the proposal? Does the proposal provide additional funding to, or redirect, any existing Commonwealth/State or Territory funding arrangements (for example, does an education proposal add to or redirect NERA funding).

No.

Will funding/the cost require indexation?

No.

Who will administer the proposal?	The Economic Development Directorate.
How will the proposal be administered?	The Economic Directorate and responsible Minister will consult with local sporting groups on appropriate capital upgrades to the facility.
Is the proposal part of a broader package?	No.
Has an allowance been made for expenses necessary to support the implementation of this proposal? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– If no, will the government agency be expected to absorb expenses associated with this proposal?</li> <li>– If yes, please specify the key assumptions.</li> </ul>	No. Implementation will be met from within existing resources. Upgrades to government owned sporting facilities is considered business as usual.
Will the proposal generate savings or offsets?	No.
Has the proposal been previously costed by an external (third) party? Will a copy of this material, including any assumptions, be made available to Treasury?	No.
What are the community impacts associated with the proposal? Who and how many people will be affected?	n/a
Are there any transitional considerations associated with implementation of the proposal? If so, how will they be managed?	No.
What is the intended implementation date of the proposal?	Consultation with sporting groups will begin in 2017-18 with capital not due to be expensed until 2018-19.
When is the proposal expected to be fully operational? Please provide details such as the start and end dates, the level of commitment during each period etc?	2018-19.
Will the proposal cease, and if so, when?	Funding will cease after 2018-19.
Is there any additional information relevant to this proposal?	No.