

Request for Costing an Election Commitment

Name of policy proposal:	Bike Share Feasibility Study
Person requesting costing:	Shane Rattenbury MLA
Date of request:	30 September 2016
Summary of proposal:	<p>The ACT Greens will conduct a feasibility study into ACT Government-operated bike sharing facilities.</p> <p>The feasibility study would include an analysis of likely costs (including establishment and maintenance), likely demand, the identification of possible locations for the facility and problem solving likely challenges (e.g. provision of helmets etc).</p>
Issue the proposal will address:	The exploration of new opportunities to promote active transport and healthy lifestyles while reducing traffic congestion on Canberra's roads.

What are the key assumptions that have been made in the proposal?

Note: The costing will developed on the basis of information and assumptions provided in the costing request. The professional judgment of the Under Treasurer will determine whether these assumptions are adopted in the costing of the proposal.

This feasibility study is dollar limited to a maximum of \$350,000 only.

What are the estimated revenue and operating costs each year (if available) and what are the capital requirements for this proposal and estimated costs each year (if available)?

	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	Total
	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
Revenue^(a)	0	0	0	0	0
Expenses^(a)	0	-350	0	0	-350
Capital	0	0	0	0	0
Depreciation					

(a) A negative number indicates a decrease in revenue or an increase in expenses. The expenses row does not include depreciation costs.

Has any specific information or data been utilised in generating the proposal?

The bike sharing facilities would likely be based on similar models currently in operation in many cities around the world and in Australia, including Melbourne ([Melbourne Bike Share](#)) and Brisbane.

Where relevant, is funding for the proposal to be demand driven or a capped amount?

Capped.

Will third parties, for instance the Commonwealth or other State/Territories, have a role in funding or delivering the proposal? Does the proposal provide additional funding to, or redirect, any existing Commonwealth/State or Territory funding arrangements (for example, does an education proposal add to or redirect NERA funding).

No.

Will funding/the cost require indexation?

No.

Who will administer the proposal?

Transport Canberra and City Services Directorate.

How will the proposal be administered?

Transport Canberra and City Services Directorate.

Is the proposal part of a broader package?

No.

Has an allowance been made for expenses necessary to support the implementation of this proposal?

- If no, will the government agency be expected to absorb expenses associated with this proposal?
- If yes, please specify the key assumptions.

Whether the Directorate contracts out this work, or has its own experts in the field conduct the study, instead is ultimately a decision for the Directorate.

Will the proposal generate savings or offsets?

No.

Has the proposal been previously costed by an external (third) party? Will a copy of this material, including any assumptions, be made available to Treasury?

No.

What are the community impacts associated with the proposal? Who and how many people will be affected?

Consideration of new systems to improve the take-up of active travel in Canberra.

Are there any transitional considerations associated with implementation of the proposal? If so, how will they be managed?

No.

What is the intended implementation date of the proposal?

2017-18

When is the proposal expected to be fully operational? Please provide details such as the start and end dates, the level of commitment during each period etc?

2017-18

Will the proposal cease, and if so, when?

2017-18

Is there any additional information relevant to this proposal?
--

N/A
