


Request for Costing an Election Commitment

Name of election commitment:	<i>supermarket competition reforms</i>					
Person and party requesting costing:	Meredith Hunter, ACT Greens Parliamentary Leader					
Date of public release of election commitment, including source:	8 th October 2012 http://act.greens.org.au/sites/greens.org.au/files/Business.pdf					
Summary of election commitment:	Commit \$200,000 to implement supermarket competition reforms.					
Intention of election commitment:	Implement recommendations from the Assembly Select Committee on the ACT's Supermarket Competition Policy by amendment of the Territory Plan and the Supermarket Competition Policy.					
Signature of person requesting costing:						
Date of request for costing:	11/10/12					
What are the key assumptions that have been made in the election commitment?						
<p>Note: that where the request to cost an election commitment differs from the public announcement, the costing will be on the basis of information provided in the costing request.</p> <p>Note: it will be up to the professional judgment of the Director-General as to whether these assumptions are adopted in the costing of the election commitment.</p>						
Where relevant, is the funding for the policy to be demand driven or a capped amount?						
A capped amount.						
Will third parties, for instance the Commonwealth or other State/Territories, have a role in funding or delivering the election commitment?						
No.						
Will funding/the cost require indexation?						
No.						
What are the estimated revenue and operating costs each year (if available) and what are the capital requirements for this election commitment and estimated costs each year (if available)?						
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	Total
	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
Revenue ^(a)						
Expenses ^(a)		-200				-200
Capital						
(a) A negative number indicates a decrease in revenue or an increase in expenses.						
What is the likely take up?						
N/A						
Any other assumptions?						
- \$200,000 is required to facilitate the work of the Economic Development Directorate and						

ACTPLA.

- This is based on previous experience of amendments to the Territory Plan.
- Answers to Question on Notice 2405 in the Assembly indicate that the cost of work on Territory Plan variation 306 was in the order of \$89,000:

Administration of the election commitment

How will the election commitment be administered?

ESDD (ACTPLA) will prepare changes to the Territory Plan and the competition policy changes will be developed jointly with the Economic Development Directorate .

Who will administer the election commitment?

ESDD (ACTPLA) and EDD.

Has an allowance been made for expenses necessary to support the implementation of this election commitment?

- If no, will the government agency be expected to absorb expenses associated with this election commitment?
- If yes, please specify the key assumptions.

Some expenses are expected to be absorbed by the agencies as part of their normal business. However the funding is expected

What is the intended implementation date of the election commitment?

2013-14.

Are there transitional arrangements associated with election commitment implementation?

No.

Are there any other assumptions that need to be considered?

No.

When is the election commitment expected to be fully operational?

Please provide further details i.e. start and end dates, the level of commitment during each period etc?

From the start of 2013-14.

Will the election commitment cease and if so when?

At the end of 2013-14.