


Request for Costing an Election Commitment

Name of election commitment:	<i>Sports Infrastructure Fund</i>
Person and party requesting costing:	Meredith Hunter, ACT Greens Parliamentary Leader
Date of public release of election commitment, including source:	1 st October 2012 http://act.greens.org.au/sites/greens.org.au/files/SPORT_0.pdf (page 2, point 2)
Summary of election commitment:	Additional capital funding of \$7million a year to go towards sporting infrastructure.
Intention of election commitment:	The provision of sports infrastructure where it is needed to help make Canberra more active and healthier.
Signature of person requesting costing:	
Date of request for costing:	10/10/12

What are the key assumptions that have been made in the election commitment?

Note: that where the request to cost an election commitment differs from the public announcement, the costing will be on the basis of information provided in the costing request.
Note: it will be up to the professional judgment of the Director-General as to whether these assumptions are adopted in the costing of the election commitment.

Where relevant, is the funding for the policy to be demand driven or a capped amount?

A capped amount.

Will third parties, for instance the Commonwealth or other State/Territories, have a role in funding or delivering the election commitment?

No

Will funding/the cost require indexation?

Yes, the costs of borrowing have been indexed at a compounding rate of 4.25% per year

What are the estimated revenue and operating costs each year (if available) and what are the capital requirements for this election commitment and estimated costs each year (if available)?

	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	Total
	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
Revenue ^(a)						
Expenses ^(a)		-298	-958	-1,631	-2,887	-5,774
Capital		-7,000	-7,000	-7,000	-7,000	-28,000

(a) A negative number indicates a decrease in revenue or an increase in expenses.

What is the likely take up?

N/A.

Any other assumptions?

- Depreciation over 20 years has been assumed as an estimate, given the precise nature of the capital works is not specified, ie some assets built may require

depreciation over a shorter time and some over a longer time

- Depreciation starts in the 2014/15 on the assumption that assets constructed in 2013/14 will commence operation on the first day of 2014/15
- Compounding cost of capital at 4.25% per year has been adopted.
- Decisions on the specific infrastructure upgrades will be influenced by the Audit of Sports Infrastructure, which is a separate commitment.

Administration of the election commitment

How will the election commitment be administered?

By the Economic Development Directorate, as per the usual process for administering capital works related to sporting infrastructure.

Who will administer the election commitment?

EDD

Has an allowance been made for expenses necessary to support the implementation of this election commitment?

- If no, will the government agency be expected to absorb expenses associated with this election commitment?
- If yes, please specify the key assumptions.

No. Any additional cost will be absorbed from within existing directorate resources.

What is the intended implementation date of the election commitment?

During 2013-14

Are there transitional arrangements associated with election commitment implementation?

Yes, the Audit of Sports Infrastructure is a separate commitment and the outcomes of that work will influence what types of infrastructure are built and where they are built.

Are there any other assumptions that need to be considered?

No

When is the election commitment expected to be fully operational?

Please provide further details i.e. start and end dates, the level of commitment during each period etc?

During 2013-14.

Will the election commitment cease and if so when?

The commitment runs through until the end of 2016/17