


Request for Costing an Election Commitment

Name of election commitment:	Youth Engagement Programs					
Person and party requesting costing:	Meredith Hunter, ACT Greens Parliamentary Leader					
Date of public release of election commitment, including source:	20 th September 2012 http://act.greens.org.au/content/community-sector-reforms					
Summary of election commitment:	Increase funding for youth engagement programs					
Intention of election commitment:	These funds would go towards supporting youth engagement programs such as youth centres and outreach programs					
Signature of person requesting costing:						
Date of request for costing:	10/10/12					
What are the key assumptions that have been made in the election commitment?						
<p>Note: that where the request to cost an election commitment differs from the public announcement, the costing will be on the basis of information provided in the costing request.</p> <p>Note: it will be up to the professional judgment of the Director-General as to whether these assumptions are adopted in the costing of the election commitment.</p>						
Where relevant, is the funding for the policy to be demand driven or a capped amount?						
A capped amount.						
Will third parties, for instance the Commonwealth or other State/Territories, have a role in funding or delivering the election commitment?						
Yes. Community organisations will deliver the additional services.						
Will funding/the cost require indexation?						
Yes, funding has been indexed.						
What are the estimated revenue and operating costs each year (if available) and what are the capital requirements for this election commitment and estimated costs each year (if available)?						
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	Total
	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
Revenue ^(a)						
Expenses ^(a)		-1,200	-1,230	-1,261	-1,293	-4,984
Capital						
(a) A negative number indicates a decrease in revenue or an increase in expenses.						
What is the likely take up?						
N/A						

Any other assumptions?

- Fixed funding of \$1.2 Million (indexed) per annum has been provided for this initiative.
- The Children, Youth and Family Support Program will use the funding to increase youth sector resourcing particularly for increased opening hours of youth centres. This additional funding will effectively pay for services that ceased or were reduced when funding was redirected to the central intake system.

Administration of the election commitment

How will the election commitment be administered?

This initiative will be administered within the existing management framework of Community Services Directorate. The Directorate will tender for a non government service provider to deliver these services under the existing Children, Youth and Family Support Programs.

Who will administer the election commitment?

The Community Services Directorate.

Has an allowance been made for expenses necessary to support the implementation of this election commitment?

– If no, will the government agency be expected to absorb expenses associated with this election commitment?

– If yes, please specify the key assumptions.

No. CSD will be expected to absorb any further expenses associated with the expanded program.

What is the intended implementation date of the election commitment?

From the start of the 2013-14 financial year.

Are there transitional arrangements associated with election commitment implementation?

N/A.

Are there any other assumptions that need to be considered?

No.

When is the election commitment expected to be fully operational?

Please provide further details i.e. start and end dates, the level of commitment during each period etc?

From the start of the 2013-14 financial year.

Will the election commitment cease and if so when?

N/A.