


## Request for Costing an Election Commitment

<b>Name of election commitment:</b>	<b>Energy efficiency ratings</b>
Person and party requesting costing:	Meredith Hunter, ACT Greens Parliamentary Leader
Date of public release of election commitment, including source:	19 September 2012, <a href="http://act.greens.org.au/sites/greens.org.au/files/Planning.pdf">http://act.greens.org.au/sites/greens.org.au/files/Planning.pdf</a> (page 5)
Summary of election commitment:	Commitment is to make changes to energy efficiency ratings legislation to improve the energy efficiency information that is provided when a house is offered for sale and ensure that up to date rating software is used when houses are assessed.
Intention of election commitment:	To provide a better basis for comparison of the energy efficiency, and the expected energy use of different houses.
Signature of person requesting costing:	
Date of request for costing:	9/10/12

**What are the key assumptions that have been made in the election commitment?**

**Note:** that where the request to cost an election commitment differs from the public announcement, the costing will be on the basis of information provided in the costing request.  
**Note:** it will be up to the professional judgment of the Director-General as to whether these assumptions are adopted in the costing of the election commitment.

**Where relevant, is the funding for the policy to be demand driven or a capped amount?**

N/A.

**Will third parties, for instance the Commonwealth or other State/Territories, have a role in funding or delivering the election commitment?**

No.

**Will funding/the cost require indexation?**

N/A.

**What are the estimated revenue and operating costs each year (if available) and what are the capital requirements for this election commitment and estimated costs each year (if available)?**

	2012-13 \$'000	2013-14 \$'000	2014-15 \$'000	2015-16 \$'000	2016-17 \$'000	Total \$'000
Revenue <sup>(a)</sup>						
Expenses <sup>(a)</sup>						
Capital						

(a) A negative number indicates a decrease in revenue or an increase in expenses.

**What is the likely take up?**

N/A.

**Any other assumptions?**

- This commitment requires legislative change only and would be funded from existing resources, not additional cost to the budget is expected.

*Administration of the election commitment*

**How will the election commitment be administered?**

ESDD (ACTPLA) is responsible for the energy efficiency rating and will be responsible for administering the new requirements.

**Who will administer the election commitment?**

ESDD (ACTPLA).

**Has an allowance been made for expenses necessary to support the implementation of this election commitment?**

- If no, will the government agency be expected to absorb expenses associated with this election commitment?
- If yes, please specify the key assumptions.

No. ESDD (ACTPLA) is already responsible for EER changes and can make the changes within existing resources.

**What is the intended implementation date of the election commitment?**

2013/14.

**Are there transitional arrangements associated with election commitment implementation?**

No.

**Are there any other assumptions that need to be considered?**

No.

**When is the election commitment expected to be fully operational?**

*Please provide further details i.e. start and end dates, level of commitment during each period etc?*

By the end of 2013/14.

**Will the election commitment cease and if so when?**

N/A.